

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SECRET

EYES ALONE

Proposed UJDREADFUL Solution

RE: M/R 18 June 1953 on UJDREADFUL meeting

1. Although, as [] says, his attached comments contain nothing new, they do in [] opinion suggest clearly the most logical basic solution of the case. The solution proposed below is predicated upon the fact that Subject's statements to [] are consistent with his relationship with [], and represent as clear a bid for AIS employment outside ZIPPER as Subject could possibly be expected to make.

2. Based upon the above, the following proposed solution of the case is presented:

a. On the assumption that ZIPPER's forthcoming proposals are likely to be indecisive or otherwise unacceptable, we should plan to raise legitimate and forceful objections and propose solution via an interrogation and second LCFLUTTER under American auspices (since ZIPPER unfortunately has no such facility), "to save ZIPPER the embarrassment".

b. After ZIPPER has agreed to the test entirely under AIS auspices, [] should approach UJDREADFUL perhaps after one or two more meetings and should indicate that since UJD is going to leave ZIPPER anyway, perhaps we could be of assistance in his emigration and possibly even later in his employment by AIS. We should flatter UJD and play upon his considerable egotism. After he has expressed interest, he should then be informed that there is only one hitch,--that although we are very interested in obtaining his services, the puzzling and troublesome matter of the previous test results must be cleared up entirely, and that out very strict security regulations positively prohibit assisting or employing him until he is entirely clear. Therefore he must submit to interrogation and LCFLUTTER. (Incidentally, in order to get ZIPPER to accept this solution, ~~and~~ we might well use ZIPPER members for certain phases of the interrogation only.)

c. ZIPPER would not be told about the exact nature of our approach in (b) above in order not to disturb possible later UJDAGNET chances. If at a later date they should discover this pitch through UJD or otherwise, we would inform them that it is quite definite that our security regulations make KUBARK employment of such a person entirely out of the question and that the particular approach was merely made in this guise as a psychological trick to get UJD to submit completely.

d. Should the interrogation and LCFLUTTER result in actual admissions of current or past contact with the enemy intelligence, the possibility of an interesting double agent case would exist.

e. If it should be possible to clear UJD conclusively, the prospects of UJDAGNET use could be seriously considered. It is felt that POB admission of error on the original LCFLUTTER would

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

2

SECRET

EYES ALONE

cause ZIPPER to consider UJD completely cleared. Their reaction might even go so far as to incline them to some form of restitution such as transfer, on UJD application, to a more desirable position, though this is probably wishful thinking.

f. Should results be still doubtful, it would simply be necessary to insist that ZIPPER terminate UJD and proceed to drop or reorganize his organization. Fortunately, neither ZIPPER nor UJD would be in any position to refute our conclusions based upon LCFLUTTER effectively, so that regardless of the actual outcome, we would have a free field to construct ostensible results to fit our desired course of action.

3. If the above solution were adopted, it is clear that the crucial point would be prior arrangements of effective physical facilities and personnel for the necessary interrogations and LCFLUTTERS. Holding would be possible at ECIC. UJD could probably be convinced that the procedure is sufficiently important to his future that he ~~will~~ should take leave of absence (which the organization would undoubtedly grant) in order to clear up the whole problem.

4. The obvious disadvantage of having POB involved in a potential major UJD RAGNET operation must be considered. In order to obtain the advantage of non-attributability, it might be advisable to arrange for the approach to UJD to be via a third party (perhaps on the recommendation of []). However, this course has the disadvantages of loss of POB control, loss of the personal relationship factor which is likely to obtain UJD cooperation, in return for an extremely thin and dubious non-attributability factor. In addition, experience indicates that suitable personnel for such projects are exceedingly difficult to find when needed. Undersigned believes the device provided in para 2 c. above would be preferable, and equally effective.

[RRB]